

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/645,372	08/21/2003	James L. Cook	UMO 1555	7442	
321	7590 10/05/20	590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
	R POWERS LEAVI	WILLSE,	DAVID H		
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	•
Ł	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/645,372	COOK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Dave Willse	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	ıgust 2003.						
·	· <u>_</u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-9 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 10-13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on August 21, 2003, is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	(a) accepted or b) \Box objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-2-03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/645,372

Art Unit: 3738

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ring, US 4,038,704, which discloses a humeral component 10 with a generally cylindrical spool 12 and 13 and a radioulnar component 11 comprising a body having a generally U-shaped contour (column 1, lines 32-37) with an inner peripheral surface sized and shaped for engagement and relative movement with a contoured external surface of the spool (column 1, lines 37-39; column 2, line 20; etc.). The body of the radioulnar component is configured for snap-fit attachment to the spool (column 1, lines 26-29; etc). Regarding claim 2: column 1, lines 50-54; column 2, lines 55-61; Figure 2. Regarding claim 3: column 2, lines 13-14.

Claims 8, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amis et al., US 4,242,758, which discloses a generally cylindrical spool 35 having a contoured external surface (column 6, lines 25-32) and a radioulnar component comprising a body have a generally U-shaped contour (Figures 12 and 13) with a complementary articular surface (column 7, lines 36-40). The humeral spool 35 has a bore 34 *capable* of receiving a fastener, such as the trimmed

Application/Control Number: 10/645,372 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

end of the humerus itself (column 6, lines 22-25). Regarding claim 9, the stabilizer is viewed as the walls 53 and 55 and/or the layers of porous metal 61 (column 7, lines 19-28; Figure 11).

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ring, US 4,038,704, in view of Amis et al., US 4,242,758. Regarding claim 4, locating a pin along the anatomical center of rotation so as to engage various surgical cutting guides was well known in the art, as seen from Amis et al. (Figure 21-31 and column 9, line 51 et seq., especially column 10, lines 1-3), and would have been obvious for implanting the prosthesis of Ring, with the ordinary practitioner having been left to select an appropriate set of tools and having been motivation by the placement accuracy particularly required for snap-fit articulation surfaces. Regarding claim 7, bore receiving cavities in the spool 12 and 13 of Amis et al. would have been obvious from the minute bone receiving cavities taught by Amis et al. at column 7, lines 19-28, with motivation having been provided by the advantages cited by Amis et al. and by the perforations disclosed in Ring (column 1, lines 64-68).

Claims 6 and 10-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Dave Willse Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738